

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> S-1131.1	<b>Title:</b> Prohibiting requiring employees to pay dues or fees to a labor organization as a condition of employment.	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
------------------------------	---	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Jarrett Sacks	Phone: 360-786-7448	Date: 02/01/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 02/03/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/03/2017
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # S1131.1-2

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### **II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts**

Several RCWs would be amended to allow employees to not pay dues or fees to a labor union as a condition of employment . In addition, they say that a person who violates the rights of employees is liable to a person who suffer from that violation for all resulting damages. The superior courts shall grant injunctive relief when a violation is apparent.

Although there is the potential for some court cases, the impact would be minimal.

### **II. B - Cash Receipts Impact**

### **II. C - Expenditures**

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## **Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**